

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,306	1,306 06/23/2003		Christopher Bangle	080437.52447US	7952
23911	7590	03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
CROWEL			GUTMAN, HILARY L		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20044-4300		3612	
				DATE MAILED: 03/01/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/601,306	BANGLE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hilary Gutman	3612					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nuary 2006.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-48 and 50-59</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🛛	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-42,44-48,50 and 52-59</u> is/are allowed.							
•	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 51</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)					
· =	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,306

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 4-6, 28-42, 44-48, directed to alternate species of the invention no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim. However, claim 43, directed to an alternate species remains withdrawn from consideration since this claim does not depend upon or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as required by 37 CFR 1.141.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corder in view of Dalbec.

Corder (5,106,145) discloses a section of a body of a vehicle comprising: a flexible material outer skin that is tensed at least over one part of a frame of the vehicle, has elastic properties, and is a flexible textile planar formation, and at least one mechanically moveable adjusting element, provided beneath or on an edge of the outer skin, enabling the outer skin to be deformed by moving in a direction which increases tension in the outer skin.

Corder lacks the material being coated with a coating at least on a visible side.

Dalbec (6,323,144) teaches a coating on a convertible fabric to provide improved acoustical and heat transfer properties.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a coating as taught by Dalbec on the material of Corder in order to improve acoustical and heat transfer properties of the convertible fabric.

With regard to claim 8, the adjusting element is moved by a powered actuator 38.

5. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corder in view of Goodrich (5,016936).

Corder (5,106,145) discloses a section of a body of a vehicle comprising: a flexible material outer skin that is tensed at least over one part of a frame of the vehicle, has elastic properties, and is a flexible textile planar formation, and at least one mechanically moveable

adjusting element, provided beneath or on an edge of the outer skin, enabling the outer skin to be deformed.

Corder lacks the textile planar formation including PES or PEN fibers.

Goodrich (5,016,936) teaches a textile planar formation for a motor vehicle top having in one embodiment (Figure 6) a metalized layer of Mylar or polyethylene teraphtalate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a Mylar layer as taught by Goodrich for the material of the body section of Corder because polyethylene teraphtalate is well known in the prior art to have better adhesion and adhesion retention, a higher corrosion resistance, better resistance against ultraviolet (UV) light (and therefore better weatherability), and a lower absorption of water or moisture.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-42, 44-48, 50, and 51-59 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered. Since no arguments or amendments are made to claims 8 and 51, the rejection previously set forth, is hereby maintained. A new rejection with regard to previously withdrawn claim 43 is set forth as well.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hilary Gutman February 17, 2006